## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDRE SMILEY,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-0807

v.

DAVID PITKINS, et al.,

Respondents.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 12th day of April 2016, upon consideration of Petitioner's pro se

Petition for Habeas Corpus (Doc. No. 1), Petitioner's Memorandum of Law (Doc. No. 10),

Petitioner's Federal Cases and Memorandum of Law with Historical Commentaries (Doc. No. 17), the Government's Response to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. No. 18),

Magistrate Judge M. Faith Angell's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 20), Petitioner's

Objections to the Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 27), the Government's Response to

Petitioner's Objections (Doc. No. 36), Petitioner's Rebuttal to Respondent's Response to

Petitioner's Objections (Doc. No. 40), and the pertinent state court record, and in accordance with the Opinion of the Court issued this day, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 20) is APPROVED and ADOPTED.
- 2. The Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED**.
- 3. A certificate of appealability **SHALL NOT** issue, in that the Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right nor demonstrated that reasonable jurists would debate the correctness of this ruling. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473 (2000).

4. The Clerk of Court shall mark this case **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joel H. Slomsky JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.